



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,730	01/02/2002	Robert M. Abrams	269/106 (cont.)	3733
75	590 08/31/2005		EXAM	INER
DAVID T. BURSE BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP			SCHNIZER, RICHARD A	
THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4067			1635	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action				
Before the Filing of an App	eal Brief			

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/038,730	ABRAMS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Richard Schnizer, Ph. D	1635

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

∴ The Notice of A	ppeal was filed on	 A brief in compliance w 	ith 37 CFR 41.37 mus	t be filed within two	months of the date
of filing the Noti	ce of Appeal (37 CFR 4	1.37(a)), or any extension	thereof (37 CFR 41.37	'(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the appeal.
Since a Notice	of Appeal has been filed	, any reply must be filed w	ithin the time period se	et forth in 37 CFR 41	.37(a).

- **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) . will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: _

Claim(s) rejected: 32-41,43,44,46,53-57 and 59.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 42,45 and 47-52.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in 3(a) above.
- 12. 🗌 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 🔛

- 1			
3	1 1	Other	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment would render the claims indefinite. Claim 32 is drawn to a "system", and item a) of the claim requires that a polymer-forming or dissolved polymeric biodegradable material must be present in an amount of about 5% to 50% by weight. However, the claim does not make clear what components of the "system" are to be considered when calculating the percentage weight of the polymer forming or dissolved polymeric biodegradable material. If the weight of the entire system is to be included in the calculation, then the new matter rejection of record would stand against the claims for the reasons of record. If the weight of the system as a whole is not included in the calculation, then it is unclear what elements of the system are included. In other words, it is not clear what weight the polymer forming or dissolved polymeric biodegradable material is 5% to 50% of. Note also that the amendment is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 because the text of the withdrawn claims is missing.

Richard Schnizer Patent Examiner Art Unit 1635